

TOWN OF BEDFORD
January 28, 2021
ENERGY COMMISSION MINUTES

A meeting of the Bedford Energy Commission was held on Thursday, January 28, 2021 via the Zoom Meeting Platform.

Present: Tim Paradis (Chair), John Russell (Vice Chair), Denise Ricciardi (TC Liaison), Lori Radke (TC Alternate), John Schneller (SB Liaison), Bill Foote (SB Liaison Alternate), Bing Lu, Bart Fromuth, Chris Bandazian

Absent: Andrew Gillis, Tom Elwood

Chairman Paradis read the following statement:

Due to the state of emergency declared by the Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order #12 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is authorized to meet electronically. Please note that there is no physical location to observe this meeting which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's emergency order; however, in accordance with the emergency order I am confirming that: A) We are providing public access to the meeting by telephone, with additional access live on BCTV Comcast Channel 22, or streaming online by going to the town website and choosing "BCTV" under "Departments" and clicking on "Channel 22". We are utilizing an online platform called Zoom for this electronic meeting. All members of the Commission have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this meeting through this platform. The public can call into this meeting via the instructions that are being shown on the BCTV broadcast. B) We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the meeting, including how to access the meeting using the telephone which is listed at the top of the agenda on the town website; C) If anybody has a problem accessing the meeting via the phone, please email us at bctv@bedfordtv.com. In the event the public is unable to access the meeting, the meeting will be adjourned and rescheduled.

Please note that all votes that are taken during this meeting shall be done by roll call vote. When each member states their presence please also state whether there's also anyone in the room with you, which is required under The Right to Know Law:

John Russell (alone); Denise Ricciardi (alone); John Schneller (alone); Bill Foote (alone); Bing Lu (alone); Lori Radke (alone); Bart Fromuth (alone); Chris Bandazian (alone), Tim Paradis (alone).

- I. Call to Order: Chairman Paradis opened the meeting at 7:00 PM
- II. Quorum Count: (5)
- III. Approval of Minutes – December 17, 2020

MOTION by Mr. Russell to approve the December 17, 2020 minutes. Seconded by Mr. Bandazian. Roll call vote – (1 abstention Councilor Ricciardi). All in favor – Motion Passed 3-0 (Mr. Lu, Mr. Schneller, Mr. Fromuth).

- IV. Reports of Members and Committees

- a. Legislative update
 1. HB 315 discussion
 2. Clean Energy NH Bill Synopsis
 - A. <https://www.cleanenergynh.org/copy-of-energy-bills-1>
 - B. <https://www.cleanenergynh.org/policyupdates>

Councilor Ricciardi talked about Senate Bill (SB) 78-FN, sponsored by Senator Bradley relative to continually appropriating the renewable energy fund to the Public Utilities Commission (PUC). The bill provides that monies in the renewable energy fund be continually appropriated to the PUC for programs and expenditures funded in the future. She also talked about SB71, which is to establish a commission to develop science-based emissions reduction goals for the State of NH.

Councilor Ricciardi said that HB 315 was very confusing to people. She did some homework and reached out to people and received differing opinions. She read what had been sent to her, *“Community aggregation has been on the books for 20 years, but the original language required that a community wanting to aggregate its residents and then get bids from suppliers of energy to try and lower their rates would have to get each residential customer to opt-in. No aggregator or supplier of energy wanted to take on that risk, so in 2018 or 2019 a Senate Bill was introduced that would allow the local community to determine if they wanted to continue with customers opting in or they could require the customers opt-out. That means if they did not hear back from the customer after sending them a letter alerting them to aggregation they would automatically be enrolled.”* Councilor Ricciardi continued, *“It became a little confusing when it got to the PUC for rulemaking, people were scratching their heads and making certain arguments. The argument was about community aggregation. Hopefully there would be no argument and hoped their customers could find ways to lower their energy bill. No utility makes any profit off the sale of energy. The problems with that were billing, credit collections, meter reading, ownership of meters, and providing all the customer service that utilities provide today.”* Councilor Ricciardi read what she received from someone at Eversource: *“They met with aggregators who are successful in other States and told them they weren’t interested because they didn’t want to do the metering, and the billing. There is no other State other than California where this bill exists. HB315 is actually a bill that reflects the wishes of aggregators.”* Councilor Ricciardi said this is what she was told. She continued, *“Technical problems of not passing HB 315 was that any town that decides to take on the other type of services will increase their taxes to create these new jobs in local departments. Any individual resident may decide to leave the aggregation and go back to their utility; therefore the utility must have infrastructure to provide the same services as the local community for whenever any of the customers leave aggregation. There would be duplicate infrastructure to provide the same services. Customers in communities who do not aggregate will pay more in electric rates to insure the infrastructure is there to service the aggregated customers who leave the aggregation. Customers in communities who do aggregate will pay more in taxes for the services of infrastructure and also more in distribution rate with the utility to ensure the backup the infrastructure exists.”* Councilor Ricciardi said that was the opinion of people at Eversource. This was not her opinion, she was just giving the history.

Councilor Ricciardi stated that SB286, which passed in 2019, let every town choose their own energy destiny and it did not try and force some overarching State energy policy on everyone. SB286 was one of the few true bipartisan bright spots of the last State Congress.

Councilor Ricciardi read the pros of HB315, which are purchase of receivables. She read, *“This is a program that every other robust CCA market already has in effect. It guarantees customer payment to the third party suppliers by leveraging the utility as their collections apparatus. The thought process is that the utilities have the ultimate collection tool at their disposal: Shutting off the lights. Third party suppliers have no such recourse if someone does not pay their power bill and this is complicated by the fact that any such supplier that wants to serve as a town CCA must take all customers regardless of their payment history or creditworthiness. Adoption of this program in NH will bring about lower prices for customers due to lower credit risk premiums and incentivize more suppliers to bid on NH CCA’s. As of right now there are only a small handful of third party suppliers willing to enter NH because of the credit risk. The POR would change that. There was a technical defect in SB286 that forced the utilities to automatically enroll any new customer that moved to a community with an active CCA. In other words, the customer would never hit standard offer and they would default to the town CCA program. The problem is that there doesn’t exist a mechanism for Eversource to comply with this requirement. Their systems do not allow for a new customer to simply start in a competitive procurement. They have to start at SO and then they can move on from there. Usually after one billing cycle HB315 would solve this flaw, and again would be a benefit. Senator Avarad has bill LSR 1007 that solves this independently, so if HB315 fails we have another mechanism to fix it.”*

Councilor Ricciardi read the negatives of HB315. *“PUC review of all community approved CCA prior to launch SB286 was a triumph for those championing limited government and local control because each community was free to chart its own path unrestrained from the shackles of regulatory oversight. Under Eversource’s bill, each community would lose this freedom and be subject to a review process that would likely take a year or more. No one wants to push forward with the CCA with a year of hearings and so forth.”*

Councilor Ricciardi hoped that she didn’t confuse anybody and indicated she had read the notes she received from her research.

Chairman Paradis wanted to know where the information came from that she read. Councilor Ricciardi stated that it was from people who are in this business that understand this. She reached out at the Legislative offices, they have a team, and they reached out on her behalf to Eversource. She reached out on her own and got the emails that she read explaining those two opinions. She said the bill was confusing and has pros and cons. She thought it needed work. Chairman Paradis wanted to make sure that the community listening knew where the information was coming from. Whether it was the representatives who brought it to the floor, or Eversource, or other people.

Mr. Fromuth agreed that there is some good and bad in the bill, and thought that presently the bad outweighs the good. He was heavily involved in getting SB286 passed, so he is a little biased about that. HB315 is a bill put forth by Eversource. He has spoken to the representatives who sponsored and co-sponsored it and they hadn’t had an opportunity to fully go through the bill. It was on the request of Eversource. Much of what Eversource is trying to accomplish is overturning a lot of the advances that were made under SB286.

Mr. Fromuth stated that in Texas, the utilities play almost no role in terms of customer-facing approach. They manage the lines, but the competitive suppliers are the ones who actually do all of the billing and metering, which creates a lot of exciting opportunities. He has friends in other communities who are looking to do some cool stuff on metering because they want to provide their own demand-side management tools where they are sending out signals to their customers and

residents to let them know “if you curtail during this hour tomorrow you can save up to 20% on next year’s energy bill.” They can only do that if the proper metering is in place. Eversource is really trying to cram down everyone’s throats that they will be the only game in town as far as metering goes, and the same with customer billing. Eversource wants to make sure that we will never have a situation where the third party suppliers are billing transmission distribution in addition to commodity. He thinks that scares them.

Mr. Fromuth thought the person from Eversource that was quoted in Councilor Ricciardi’s notes stated that they talked to all kinds of out of state aggregators. He has talked to Eversource as well, and did not come to an agreement in terms of how HB315 is currently. He is a huge fan of the opportunities that purchase of receivables bring to the State. He thinks purchase of receivables is absolutely heading in the right direction. As Councilor Ricciardi said, the ability for Eversource and other utilities to collect payment by threatening to turn off your lights is really quite the collections mechanism. Third party suppliers who are used to having those mechanisms in other States are a little hesitant to come in as a result of not having that, so if there was some way for us to get the benefit of Purchase of Receivables (POR) without a lot of the other noise that Eversource has in that bill, he thinks we’d be better off.

Chairman Paradis asked Mr. Fromuth to explain Purchase of Receivables (POR). Mr. Fromuth explained that Purchase of Receivables is a program that has been instituted in a lot of markets whereby the utilities will essentially purchase the receivables of the third party supplier, the private suppliers that sell commodity on the Eversource lines. For a small fee, Eversource will guarantee the credit of every customer that supplier is serving. If someone wanted to do a CCA in Bedford, and Bedford has maybe a 4-5% default rate of people not paying their utility bills. The supplier could sleep easier at night knowing that even with a 4-5% default rate they will be made 100% whole through the POR program and they take zero credit risk under that mechanism. Eversource takes that risk and uses their ability to turn off the lights to compel payment. POR has been a very successful program that goes hand in hand with successful CCA markets throughout the country.

Mr. Russell mentioned that during the wintertime we lose lines and it costs a lot of money to replace the lines and put them back up. He wanted to know if there was any worry that CCA conflicts with Eversource’s financial ability to maintain that service. Mr. Fromuth stated that Eversource makes all of their return on investment through the lines charges, so regardless of who is doing the billing for that, whether it is the Bedford Community CCA or Eversource themselves, the money still goes back to Eversource. One thing said in the email to Councilor Ricciardi was that they don’t make any money off the commodity sale. If Bedford were to go and do their own Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) that wouldn’t create any friction in our relationship with Eversource, because we wouldn’t be taking any money out of their coffers.

Mr. Fromuth stated that something in HB315 that is extremely problematic from the production of a CCA standpoint is that the bill calls for towns and cities to use information on their tax or voter rolls to do their notification process. HB 315 is basically saying that Eversource and other utilities don’t have to provide us accountable information when we launch CCA’s and that is problematic because we have no idea who actually pays the utility accounts based on tax roll information, so we’d have no idea if communications were effectively getting to residents so they can opt-out in time. This is another mechanism in HB315 that is largely unnecessary and tries to cut out the marketplace from getting the critical data that Eversource clutches so tightly. Mr. Fromuth stated that the lowest priority feature in HB315 is that communities like Lebanon that want to become their own energy supplier, the actual third party supplier themselves, the bill prohibits that. He

talked about Lebanon and what they want to do there. Time of use metering is something the Energy Commission discussed at the last meeting in looking at the demand/response programs for the high school. This is what Lebanon wants to do for each one of their residents and they have to raise the money for the metering in order to accomplish that and were going to use CCA as that mechanism. HB 315 would freeze all of these options out completely and you would have very plain CCA options, and he thinks it would be largely unworkable, because of the yearlong PUC review process where Eversource could intervene in every application causing the communities to have to hire lawyers to go back and forth with the PUC and make their cases. He thought it was an obstacle that smaller towns and cities will not make the investment to get passed.

Councilor Ricciardi stated that SB286 was a much better bill. Mr. Fromuth said it did have its flaws. He agreed that the technical defect whereby a new arriver to Bedford, there would be no mechanism to immediately get that person into the CCA, they would have to go to Eversource first. Saying they have to put this omnibus energy bill in to correct the technical defect that really could be corrected with just a line of law was absolutely silly. The only reason a CCA really hasn't launched yet is because Eversource is claiming that they are worried that they would be in violation of our utility data privacy laws, so Eversource is refusing to give any community in NH access to their customer-level data, which he believes they are entitled to. They've held up the process with SB286, which was largely a fairly perfect bill. It got huge bipartisan buy-in to get passed unanimously in both chambers. He was hopeful that HB315, once people understand all the good that it is undoing, will fail. He felt they want to keep the POR because that is really the highlight. He thinks it's the mechanism that Eversource used to get "out-of-State" aggregators on board with the bill because that is something those out-of-State want just as badly those in-State.

Councilor Ricciardi said that Mr. Fromuth and anyone else on the Energy Commission should testify at the hearing. Mr. Fromuth said once they set the hearing date, he would be happy to sign up for it. Chairman Paradis stressed that when these things come up, to allow the residents of Bedford to be able to testify one way or the other and know the things that might impact them and their options. He thought that HB315 was an effort to reduce our options as a community and as a town through SB286, whether they want to investigate things or not. This would greatly reduce that. It is good that they have this discussion because whether it is about the chance to testify or write letters to the science and technology committee; it is something residents should know about and have the ability to make their voices heard.

Chairman Paradis noted that Clean Energy New Hampshire, who they are a part of, also oppose HB315. He stated that if they get more information they could testify if people wanted to do that. He appreciated Councilor Ricciardi bringing that forth and for Mr. Fromuth's input. Councilor Ricciardi said when she gets the hearing date, she will email it to him.

b. School projects

Mr. Schneller stated that the School Board is in the budgeting process. There has been a lot of energy about getting kids back to school, while others would prefer to keep things the way they are, so it has been taking up a lot of time.

Mr. Foote indicated the only thing he noticed was a discussion about putting solar on the pool house when they replace that roof. The maintenance office and the SAU are getting re-roofed, so maybe there can be some synergy in the Town getting in on that contract too. He wanted to know if roofing the pool was possible for this budget cycle.

V. Old Business

d. Town Pool PV Shade/Shelter - Update - Chris meeting with Dave Gilbert and Jeff Foote

Mr. Bandazian reported that about 2 weeks ago he, Town Council Chairman Dave Gilbert, DPW Director Jeff Foote, Recreation Manager Jane O'Brien and Field Maintenance Supervisor Brad met at the Town pool to look at the potential there especially given the expansion of Sportsman Field that limits the potential for ground mount. There are a few areas that are used for shelter. There are picnic tables with a metal structure. DPW comes every year and puts a covering on it both in the pool area within the fenced area and outside. Three faces of the roof are pretty well oriented for solar. Jeff Foote, himself, and Dan Weeks from Envision Energy met there this past Monday to talk about the site. They also talked about other sites in Town, which was more exciting than the pool. The pool roof is about 17-years old. The sides of the building get a lot of solar exposure and are deteriorated and the shingles will have to be replaced, but it didn't have to be done this year. It would be an easy install. The main limitation on the site is the single phase 200-amp service and that limits the site to 49-kilowatts. Much of that could be used on the roof. It would be a fairly inexpensive project, but it would also require spending money on a roof, which has to be spent in a few years, but he doesn't think it's budgeted. It's the end of January and it wasn't sensible to try and cram it in the budget. The other shelters they looked at, the structures themselves to make it nice supporting structure covering picnic tables, it would be great to have, but it wouldn't be something that would pay for itself. Putting panels on the roof would pay for itself eventually. It is too small of a project to pay for the soft costs of getting investors. It is definitely worth looking at, but he didn't think it's worth trying to get through in the next 6 weeks.

Mr. Bandazian said the good news is that both the safety complex and the DPW garage had no upfront cost to the Town and would immediately start saving taxpayer money. He doesn't see any technological advances or changes in energy policy at the State or Federal level that would justify delaying. It would be an operational administrative level step to do and he would certainly recommend that the Town go forward with those two projects. Chairman Paradis wanted to know if that was something that he communicated to Rick (Sawyer) already or was it something that needed to be communicated to the Town Council Chair, Dave Gilbert. Mr. Bandazian thinks it is something the Town Council Chairman and Town Manager should decide on how best to approach that. He thought the Energy Commission could pass a motion making a recommendation that the town explore PV on the roofs at the DPW garage and the Safety Complex.

MOTION by Mr. Bandazian that the Town explore putting PV on the roofs at the DPW garage and the Safety Complex. Seconded by Councilor Ricciardi.

Roll call vote taken: Bing Lu-aye

Mr. Schneller wanted to know if the motion was just that they approach the Chair of the Town Council and the Town Manager and suggest that they explore the possibility of an immediate almost instantaneous payback on DPW facilities installing solar. The response was yes.

John Schneller-aye, Bart Fromuth-aye, John Russell-aye, Tim Paradis-aye, Chris Bandazian-aye. Motion Passed 6-0.

Mr. Bandazian wanted to know the timeframe for reroofing in the School District and if it was something that was going to happen in 2021. Mr. Foote said yes, in the summer. Mr. Bandazian thought that was good to know. It is something that DPW might be able to coordinate with them,

and he will pass that along. Mr. Foote didn't know if there was any synergy. It might be based on budgeting, but if they have the equipment mobilized it might be something they could go over and take care of. Mr. Russell wanted to know which roofing project Mr. Foote was referring to with the School. Mr. Foote stated the SAU Office, and the maintenance office over by Peter Woodbury.

Chairman Paradis stated that when he drives Route 101 and Route 114 at Shirley Hill, he sees a huge solar farm array on the left going into Goffstown. His parents live in Goffstown and about a month ago his parents lost power for a few hours as they put 3-phase electrical all along Shirley Hill Road. He wanted to know if anyone had any idea of what that Goffstown project was and if it had been done by the town. Mr. Bandazian thought it was private property that it came before the Planning Board. It is industrial/commercial land that abuts the Bedford line at Whippoorwill Lane, which is residential. Because it had regional impact, the Bedford Planning Board was involved even though it was a private project in Goffstown.

Mr. Bandazian also gave an update on the landfill and said that independent of whether or not there are panels in the landfill, there is financial benefit to the Town bringing 3-phase power to the Transfer Station. The Town is moving forward with that study, and that would have some benefit if it was something that was financially worthwhile. It may make that more developable in the future. Chairman Paradis thought Jeff Foote was saying that instead of using 18-wheelers to haul out the trash if they get 3-phase power they could do more from compacting the trash. Mr. Bandazian said it would do that in part, but it would also replace a machine, at least 1/6 of a skilled heavy equipment operator plus wear and tear on a backhoe, so it would pay off. We need to have the study done first to know what the cost would be and what the payoff would be. It does appear to be cost effective and would dovetail very nicely with the solar project.

a. Solsmart

1. www.thesolarfoundation.org

A. Start with Bronze level

B. Get on Town Council agenda for application

Ms. Radke reported that she and Mr. Russell connected were getting more data to put in the letter, get it approved by the Town Council, and move it forward to Solsmart. She hopes to have a draft at the next meeting for the Commission's review. Then we can move it forward to Town Council.

b. Electricity Usage

1. EV charging stations from Bart, Andrew John

A. Updates from Tesla where they will build the station and we can bolt on non-Tesla charging stations

i. <https://www.tesla.com/charging-partners>

B. <https://evcharging.enelx.com/juicestand-pro-ev-charging-station>

Mr. Fromuth had no update on charging stations, but stated that in regard to the demand/response issue, we were successful in getting Phil from Cpower to meet a week or two ago with Todd Zollman, the Facilities Director for the Bedford School District. Mr. Zollman seemed excited about the possibility of bringing in some new revenue through the curtailment program. Mr. Fromuth doesn't know if the high school has moved forward with the contract yet or where it stands, but at least we were successful in bringing the two parties together and making Mr. Zollman aware of the curtailment programs and that they could be a no-capital-required benefit to the high school.

Chairman Paradis noted the Energy Commission had talked some about Tesla electric vehicle charging stations, and he has read about some of the stimulus bills and feels it may be useful to keep our eye on whether it would be this stimulus or a potential infrastructure stimulus coming out with some monies going to municipalities and whether electric vehicle charging stations might be able to be done for revenue, replacement or to invest in infrastructure on the clean tech side.

c. Solar Farm at the Transfer Station

1. Info from other towns that able to do with <1MW net metering – No updates

d. Town Pool PV Shade/Shelter – Chris already gave update

e. Public Works Highway Garage PV Vehicle Port/Shelter(s) – Chris already gave update

f. Municipal Aggregation Cost Analysis and Presentation (Tim, Andrew, Bing, Tom)

Chairman Paradis said this is on hold with HB 315, so there are no updates. As we get more information we can talk about Bedford's role in that overall.

V. New Business – None – Mr. Russell raised a question for discussion

Mr. Russell stated that with all the new types of electric vehicles (EV's) coming to market and new trucks coming in 2021/2022, he wanted to know if Mr. Fromuth had any thoughts about electric vehicle charging stations, because they've mostly been talking about Tesla. Mr. Fromuth said there is an issue of standardization, which Mr. Gillis spoke about at a Commission meeting about 2-3 months ago. Tesla can use other charging stations, but other non-Tesla vehicles cannot use the Tesla charging stations. Investment has been made to the rest stop on Interstate 93 with a beautiful row of Tesla chargers. Tesla will be a big factor in the marketplace, but they certainly won't be the only factor, so there will have to be significant standardization. Maybe the companies will come together and figure out what to do. His recommendation would be that the investment for Bedford's future would be better made with a charging company that has adaptable chargers for everyone to use rather than just picking Tesla automatically. Mr. Russell talked about the Tesla M3, the 4th largest seller in Europe. He said Renault, Volkswagen (VW), and Hyundai all have cars. He said the American companies like Lucid have a car, and Rivian has a truck, but what excites him are the buses. If we could get buses on electric he thinks it would be really interesting. Mr. Fromuth said that New York made an investment in electric buses about 1-½ ago, but they didn't anticipate how cold the winters get and it being a drag on battery time life so they didn't have adequate redundancies to deal with that. He thinks it's all coming and there is a big movement toward it. He thinks Massachusetts just passed a law or is about to pass a law saying all new vehicles being sold in Massachusetts by 2035 have to be electric vehicles. The Biden administration set a date for all federal vehicles to be electric. With the federal government taking that move it tells you that they are going to have to put some type of massive transportation infrastructure bill in place to service all the States that don't have adequate infrastructure right now. He said NH is significantly behind. If there is an omnibus infrastructure bill it will have a lot in it about charging stations because there is no other way to bring about these mandates about new cars or federal vehicles successfully without having the infrastructure in place to service them.

Chairman Paradis likened the situation to IOS vs. Android operating systems. Tesla is like IOS/the luxury name and the other suppliers on the open market are like Android. One of the things Mr. Gillis had discussed was that if Tesla is going to build everything for us, and we could put

something on the backend of it and kind of jailbreak the charging system it may not be a bad idea. He said that Audi has the E-tron and Volkswagen has the ID.4, and there is a Linewa dealership in Nashua and they own the Mini Cooper dealership in Bedford. Perhaps we could reach out to the people at the Linewa dealership and see if there are programs through Audi for charging stations because he could imagine a community like Bedford would be pretty attractive place for them to sell their Audi and Volkswagen electric vehicles.

Mr. Fromuth said as far as hacking the free electric vehicle chargers he would guess that if Tesla is going to go to that expenditure the agreement surrounding that expenditure will probably be pretty tight about not hacking them. He feels the IOS vs. Android comparison is accurate. The one key difference is that it really is just IOS vs. Android in the phone market, but in the car market there are 20-30 major manufacturers so there is a lot more completion. It is Tesla vs. 29 other car companies who will be going for some kind of universal port system.

Mr. Lu said the charging stations are really just a plug because the chargers are inside every car. So we might force them by saying you can put your supercharger in your charging station and then put a regular plug for everybody else. You can get both. Either you can charge your car really fast or other cars can charge slowly. He thinks that will be a winning situation for everybody.

Mr. Fromuth says it will be interesting to see how it all plays out and if Tesla is still holding strong with their closed charging system in 5-years or if they've bent the need to everybody else.

Chairman Paradis agrees, but he is unsure what the next step for the Energy Commission is and whether it might be waiting for programs from various infrastructure bills. We want to keep our eye on it and continue to stay ahead of it because it fits loosely in our 10-year Town Plan. It's a good conversation to have and it seems like we are getting new information almost weekly in regard to these options and programs.

VI. Reminders: Next upcoming meeting is February 25, 2021.

VII. Adjournment

MOTION to adjourn by Chairman Paradis at 7:58 P.M. Seconded by Mr. Russell.

Roll call vote: Bing Lu-aye, Chris Bandazian-aye, John Schneller-aye, Lori Radke-aye, John Russell-aye. Tim Paradis-aye. Motion Passed 6-0.

Respectfully submitted by,

Tiffany Lewis